FULL OUTLINE

Bar Exam Doctor www.BarExamDoctor.com

CIVIL PROCEDURE

FEDERAL CIVIL PROCEDURE CALIFORNIA CIVIL PROCEDURE

I. PERSONAL JURISDICTION

- a. Do federal courts need personal jdx over the partition
- b. How is it assessed?
 - i. The same as in state ct.
- c. <u>Basic idea</u>
 - i. Whether there's personal jdy is a two-step analysis
 - 1. Satisfy a statute (e.g. a statulong-arm
 - 2. Satisfy the Constitution Due Process

d. <u>In personam jdx</u>

- i. Statutory analysis
 - 1. Most sates have a series of statutes that allow preparidx in a variety of
 - conests, seen as personalidx over Ds whe
 - a. Are served with process in the state; or
 - b. Are dornciles in the state; or

consent

- c. Do certae things (commit a tortuous act, enter a K, conduct business, etc.) in the state, or
 - the statutory and sis is easy because the statute reaches to the
 - constitutional limit, to we have to look to the constitutional analysis.
- ii. Constitutional analysis
 - D have such minimum contacts with the forum so that exercise of loes not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial
 - . In D is domiciled in the forum or consents, or is present in the forum when served with process (at least if not forced or tricked into forum), those are *traditional bases* and almost always meet the constitutional test. Tougher cases involve lesser contact.
 - 3. Factors in the constitutional analysis:
 - a. Contact

- i. There must be a relevant tie between D and the forum state. There are 2 factors to be addressed here:
 - 1. *The contact must result from <u>purposeful availment</u>: D's voluntary act.*
 - a. D must reach out to the forum. Must direct activities to the forum in some way.
 - b. Examples: trying to make money with forum, using the roads there, causing some effect there.
 - 2. Foreseeability
 - a. It must be foresee ble that D could get sued in this forum.
- b. Fairness (fair play and substantial) us
 - i. If there is a relevant context, now we assers whether the exercise of jdx would be fair or hasonable under the circumstances.
 - ii. <u>Factors</u>:
 - Reactioness between the contact and P's claim
 a. We assess the quarty of D's contact which therefore,
 b) Ask: doe: P's claim arise from D's contact with the
 - forum: 1. *V* yes, the ct might uphold jox even if D does not have a great deal of contact with the state. Where he caim is related to D's contact with the forum, it is called *specific personal px*.
 - ii. If the claim does not arise from D's contact
 with the forum, jdx is OK Only if the ct has
 general personal jdx → D must have
 c ntinuous and systematic ties with the forum.
 - hi. A D with continuous and systematic ties with the forum may be sued there for a claim that arose anywhere in the world, but a D with limited ties with the forum can only be used there for a claim arising from those activities. Continuous and systematic ties: domicile, incorporation, doing continuous business, etc.

2. Convenience

- a. D may complain that the forum makes it tough to litigate because it's far from D's home and maybe it's tough to get D's witnesses and evidence to the forum.
- b. Standard

i. The forum is OK unless it puts D at a *severe disadvantage in the litigation*. This is almost impossible to show.

3. State's interest (ALWAYS MENTION (HIS)

a. E.g., provide forum for its citizens.

SUMMARY OF CONSTITUTIONAL TEST My Parents Frequently Forgot to Read Children's

Minimum contacts	Fair play and substantial justice
Purposeful availment	Relatedness of contact and laim
Foreseeability	Convenience
	State's interest

Special note about the internet: interactive website can be purposeful awailment; passive we sites, which only provide information, in another state, is probably not a relevant contact with the formation.

e. In rem and quasi in rem jdx

- i. Here, the jurisdictional base is not the person, but her property. The statut ry tasis is an attachment statute, e.g., allowing coto attach property owned by non-existent
- ii. Constitutionally, all exercises of jax, even in rem and quasi in rem, mult satisfy the International Shoe test (constitutional analysis). Despite this statement, constitutionality probably depende on whether dispute is reacted to the property attached.
 - 1. If discute related to property, constitution probably satisfied by presence of property in the forum.
 - 2. If class is correlated to the property attached, see b's contacts with the forum to astronautoria to the property attached to the property atta

II. SUBJECT MATCER JURISDICTION

- a. <u>Basic idea</u>
 - **1. Will** P sue D in state at or federal c
 - 1. Federal cisican only hear certain types of suits:
 - a Diversity of cluze ship and
 - Nederal question.

Diversity of citizenship cases

- i. Two requirements
 - 1. The action must be between citizens of different states (or between a citizen of a state and a useign citizen); and
 - 2. The amount in controversy must EXCEED \$75,000.

n. Complete descrity rule

- 1. There is no diversity if *any P* is a citizen of the same state as *any D*.
- iii. Citizenship
 - 1. <u>A natural person</u> who is a US citizen \rightarrow citizenship is the state of her *domicile*.
 - a. *Domicile* is established by 2 factors:
 - i. Presence in the state; and
 - *ii.* The subjective intent to make it her permanent home.

- 1. For intent, look at all the relevant info: instate tuition, voting, etc.
- b. We test for diversity when the case is filed. A later change is irrelevant.
- 2. Corporations \rightarrow citizenship equals:
 - a. State where incorporated; AND
 - b. The one state where the corp has its principal place ness (PPB).
 - i. To determine PPB, use 2 tests:
 - 1. Nerve center \rightarrow where decision are milde, which is usually the headquarters; and
 - 2. *Muscle center* \rightarrow where the corr does more stuff than anywhere else.
 - a. Usually ner le center unless all corp activity is in one state.
- 3. Unincorporated associations (life partnerships, LLCs) \rightarrow use the citizenship of ALL members (that include general and limited par ner
- 4. Decedents, minors, and incompetents \rightarrow look to their itizenship, citizenship of their representative

iv. Amount in controver

- 1. Must EXCELD \$75,00
 - ins in good faith is OK unless it is clear to a legal certainty 2. Whatev ban \$75,000 \rightarrow very rate such as a statutory ceiling that s. e canno recover m on OV

ctually relovers wir elevant, b it a P the wins less than \$75,000 may Wha. pay D's ling non costs.

Equitable

- more claims to zero the amount requirement. Acling 2
- regate claim of there is ONE PLAINTIFF against ONE INDANT
 - The claims don't have to be related to each other.
 - UT for joint Mams, use the total value of the claim; the number of
 - releval. Ex: P sues joint tortfeasors, X, Y, and Z for 76,000. parties

This is OK. elie

W.

D for an injunction to tear down part of his house that blocks P's

wo tests (if either is met, most cts say it's OK):

- i. *Plaintiff's viewpoint*: does the blocked view decrease value of P's property by more than 75k?
- ii. *Defendant's viewpoint*: would it cost D more than 75k to comply with the injunction?
- v. Exclusions

1. Even if the requirements for diversity of citizenship jdx are met, federal cts will not hear actions involving issuance of divorce, alimony or child custody decree or to probate an estate.

c. <u>Federal question cases</u>

- i. Complaint must show a right or interest founded substantially on a hyperbla
- ii. The claim *arises under federal law*.
- iii. Well-pleaded complaint rule
 - 1. P's claim, properly pleaded, must be based on f deral law
 - a. Properly pleaded means the complaint would set forth only a claim and nothing else. So, in assessing whether there is federal question idx, the ct ignores any extraneous stuff that has nothing to do with the claim itself.
 - 2. ALWAYS ASK: IS P ENFORCING A CEDERAL RICHT?
 - a. If yes, the case can go to fed ct ander FQ jdx
- iv. IMPORTANT: if P's claim invokes diversity of FQ jdx, the vascin in federal ct. But there may be additional claims in the case. For every claim joined in federal ct always ask whether it invokes diversity or FQ. BUT if such chaim does not meet diversity and does not meet FQ, try:
 - 1. Supplemental Jun
 - a. It lets a feder l ct hear a caim that does not meet diversity and does not
 - The t
- i The claim we want to get into federal ct must share a *common nucleus of operative fact* with the claim that invoked federal subject matter jdx. This text is mer by claims that arise from *the ame transaction coccurrence (T/O)* as the underlying claim.
 - c. <u>The limitation</u>
 - i. In a diversity case, P connot use supplemental jdx to overcome a lack of diversity.
 - ii. But Pean use supplemental jdx to overcome lack of diversity in a federal destion case.
 - i. trad P can also use supplemental jdx to overcome a lack of amount in controversy for a claim in a diversity case.
 - And any party but P can use supplemental jdx to overcome either a lack of complete diversity or amount of controversy in any case (diversity or FQ).
 - a non-federal, non-diversity claim can be heard in federal ct if it meets the same transaction or occurrence test UNLESS it is:
 - i. Asserted by P
 - ii. In a diversity of citizenship (not FQ) case AND
 - *iii. Would violate complete diversity.*

d. <u>Removal</u>

i. Allows Ds ONLY to have case filed in state ct removed to federal ct.

- ii. Removal is a one-way street: it goes ONLY from a state trial ct to a federal trial ct.
- iii. If improper, fed ct can remand to state ct.

iv. General test

1. D can remove if the case could be heard in federal ct (invokes eversity or FQ jdx).

v. Where?

1. The case can only be removed to the federal district erroracing be state ct in which the case was originally filed.

vi. When?

- 1. Must remove no later than 30 days after *service* of the first removable document.
- 2. Usually, this means 30 days after initial service of process. But some cases are not removable then and only become removable later. *D* has 30 days from service of the document that <u>first</u> made the case removable.
- 3. In a diversity case ONLY, no removal if any D is a citizen of the forum.
 - a. Ex: P (GA) sues D-1/(CA) and D-2 (AL) in a Addenna state of for \$500,000. Can D-1 and D-s remove? No, because D-2 is from Alabame.
- 4. <u>In a diversity case QALY, these can be no kenoval more that one year after</u> the case was filed in state it.
 - a. You have 30 days to remove after the case become removable, but it cannot be more than 1 year it vias fired in state ct.

vii. Procedure for semoval

- If the notice of releaval in federal ct, staling grounds of removal; signed under Bule 11; attained documents served on D in state action; copy to all adverse parties. Then file copy of notice in state ct.
 - . If removel was procedurally improper or moves to remand to state ct; she must do so within 30 days of removal. But if there is no federal subject matter jdx, P can hove to remand *anyume* because there is no time limit on raising lack of subject matter jdx.
 - A D who files apermissive counterclaim in state ct probably waives the right to know. Filing a *compulsory* counterclaim in state ct, however, probably does not waive the right to know.

The Frie doctrine

- i. Easy one: these are clearly substantive, so state law governs in a diversity case on these issues:
 - 1. Elements of a claim or defense
 - 2. Statute of limitations
 - 3. Rules for tolling SoL
 - 4. Choice of law rules

- *ii.* If not an easy one, ask: *is there a federal law* (like federal constitution or statute or FRCP or Federal Rule of Evidence) *on point that <u>directly conflicts</u> with state law?*
 - 1. If so, apply the federal law, as long as it is valid (because of the Supremacy Clause).
 - 2. An FRCP is valid if it is *arguably procedural*. None has ever been held invalid.
- iii. If not an easy one and there is no federal law on point, but federal jurice wints to do something other than apply state law:
 - 1. If the issue is one of substantive law, she must follow tate lay. Analyze the facts per these 3 tests and come to a reasonable conclusion:
 - a. <u>Outcome determinative</u> \rightarrow would applying or is noring the state rule affect outcome of case?
 - Balance of interests → does eitner f.deral or state system have strong interest in having its rule applied
 - c. <u>Avoid forum shopping</u> wif the federal ct ignores state haw on this issue, will it cause parties to flock to federal ct? If so, should probably apply state law.

f. California SMJ

- i. Basic idea
 - 1. There is one basic trial ct in CA with Superior Court.
- ii. The Superior
 - 1. The Superior Court has general subject matter idx, which means that it can hear ANU cas
 - a. Those very law federal question cases that invoke exclusive federal jdx, such as bankrugicy, federal courities and antitrust, and patent incingement cases.
 - 3. Difference lastification of cases within the Superior Court
 - musd Civil Cases
 - i. These are 0 vil cases in which the amount in controversy does NOT exceed \$25,000.
 - Limited civil cases are governed by statutes that limit various proc dural devices, notably pleadings and discovery. In a limited case, no claimant can recover more than 25k.
 - ited civil cases
 - These are civil cases in which the amount in controversy exceeds 25k. Here, a claimant can recover ANY amount.
 - Small claims cases
 - i. These are heard in a small claims division of the superior ct. procedures are simple.
 - ii. The amount in controversy:
 - 1. If P = an individual \rightarrow 7,500 or less.
 - 2. If P = entity \rightarrow 5,000 or less.

iii. Classification and reclassification

- 1. <u>P initially determines what kind of case it is.</u>
 - a. In doing so, P considers the amount of the demand, or the recovery sought, or the value of the property, or the amount of the lien, the is in controversy. It does not include attorney's fees, interest on the claim, or costs.
 - b. If P files a limited civil case, she must note the classification in the caption of the complaint. No such requirement for unlimited cases.

2. <u>Reclassification</u>

- a. If a case is misclassified or if subsequent events make i clear that the original classification should be changed, the ct does not lose SMJ; the case is reclassified.
- b. Automatic
 - i. If P amends her complaint in a way that changes the classification (raises or accesses the amount in controversy from amit d to unlimited or unbraited to limited), therefore of ct is classifier the case.
- c. On motion

i. A party can move to replaying or the ct can reclassify on its own notion. The ct must give notice to all parties and hold a hearing.ii. In determining whether to reclassify, the ct cannot consider the

- merits of the underlying claim \rightarrow 4 will not try the case to
- *determine the chount*. But it may consider materials beyond the readings, such as judicial urbitration awards, settlement conference statements, etc.

If there is a motion to reclaracy, the ct may reclassify from unlimited to with when the jurge is convinced that the matter

- Will necessarily result in a verdict of 25k or less; OR
- i. More man 5k is virtually unobtainable.
- Effect of multiple class
 - The entire case is either limited or unlimited.
 - P ascerts 3 separate claims against D one for 12k, one for 8k, and one for $\frac{1}{100}$ be filed as an unlimited civil case because we aggregate $\frac{1}{100}$ if it's 1 P against 1 D.
 - 1 asserts a claim of 26k against D and P-2 asserts a claim of 14k against in the same case. This can be filed as an unlimited civil case because P-1's claim is unlimited, so the case is unlimited.
 - d. P sued D for 20k in a limited civil case. D files a cross-complaint against P for 26k. The entire case is reclassified as unlimited.
 - e. P sued D for 26k in an unlimited civil case. D filed a cross-complaint for 12k. The entire case is unlimited.

III. VENUE

a. Basic idea

i. Venue tells us exactly in which federal ct we can sue. P is suing in federal ct and wants to lay venue in a proper district.

b. Local actions

i. Actions re ownership, possession or injury (including trespass) to late next be filed in the district where the land lies.

c. If it's not a local action, it is called transitory

- i. In any transitory case (diversity or FQ), P may lay venue in any district where:
 - 1. ALL Ds reside; or
 - 2. A substantial part of the claim arose.
- ii. Special rule
 - 1. Where all Ds reside in different district of the <u>same state</u> is can lay venue in the district in which any of them resides.

d. <u>Where do Ds reside for venue purposes</u>2

- i. **Humans** → residence basically equals domicile, so usually same place as a tizership for diversity of citizenship purposes.
- ii. Corporations and other business associations → reside in ALL districts where they are subject to personal jdz when the case is filed.

e. Transfer of venue

- i. Can only send a cure hom one federal distinct two another federal district ct where case could have been filea → a district unit is a proper venue and cas personal jdx over D.
- ii. If venue into ignal district is proper, m y transfer to another federal district, based upon convenience for the parties and will eases and the interests of justice.
- iii. Ct has a creation to order a pusfer based upon:
 - 1. <u>Public factor</u> what we applied what community should be burdened with jury service; and
 - 2. <u>Private vector</u> \rightarrow convenience, e.g. where witnesses and evidence are.
- iv. The ct to which ease is transferred under this statute applies the choice of law rules of original st.

Forum Non Conveniens

- i. If there is a far more appropriate et elsewhere, a ct may dismiss (usually w/o prejudice) to let usue there.
 - i. Distinss because the more appropriate ct is one to which transfer is impossible because it is in a difference related system (e.g., a foreign country).
- ii. FNC dismissal almost never granted if P is resident of the present forum

g. <u>California Venuc</u>

- i. Basic idea
 - 1. In a federal ct, we lay venue in an appropriate federal district. For laying venue in state ct, you look for *an appropriate county*.
- ii. Local actions

1. For recovery of, or determination of an interest in, or for injury to real property, lay venue in the county where the land lies.

iii. If it's not a local action, it's a transitory action

- 1. Venue is OK in the county where ANY D resides when the case, filed.
- 2. Additional venue in K cases
 - a. Venue is *also* OK in the county where the K was entered acto be performed.
- 3. Additional venue in personal injury or wrongful death cases
 - a. Venue is *also* OK in the county where in jury occurred
- 4. If D is a corp or other business association, venue is OL in the county where
 - a. It has its PPB
 - b. Where it entered or is to perform a 1: or
 - c. Where the breach occurred or ha ality arises.
- 5. If all Ds are non-residents of C., venu is OK in ANY COUNTY

iv. Transfer of venue

- 1. From the Superior Courtan one county in CA.o the Superior Courtan arothercounty in CA:
 - a. <u>If original vinue is improper</u> $\rightarrow D$ can more to transfer to a proper county.
 - b. <u>If original center in proper</u> → a ct may, on motion, cansier if:
 i. There is reason to believe impartial trial cannot be had in
 - riginal venue; OR
 - ii. Convenience of witnesses and ends of justice would be promoted;
 - iii. No judge is guadfied to a t.
 - Transfer is to a county on which the parties agree; if the parties agree, the ct picks the county.

v Forum non conveniens

- As in few ral of this is where a ct dispusses because the far more convenient and appropriate of is in a different judicial system.
 - 2. Av setucen CA, state ets may dismiss or stay on motion by a party or by the et itsel. It must find that is the interest of substantial injustice an action should be heard in a form outside CA.
 - e ct look at the same sorts of public and private factors as in federal ct.
 - If the ct grants he notion, it may do so on condition, e.g., that D waive a personal jdx of 5.1 of ection in the other forum.

IV. SERVICE OF PROCESS

a. <u>Basic idea</u>

- i. In addition to personal jdx, must give notice to D. Deliver to D:
 - 1. A summons; and
 - 2. A copy of the complaint.
- ii. These 2 docs are called process.

iii. Serve within 120 days of filing case or case dismissed without prejudice (not dismissed if P shows good cause for delay in serving).

b. <u>Who can serve process?</u>

- i. Any nonparty who is at least 18 years old.
- ii. Need not be appointed by the ct.

c. <u>How is process served?</u>

- i. Personal service
 - 1. Papers given to D personally anywhere in the forum state.

ii. Substituted service

- 1. Process is left with D's butler at D's summer home. Ob if:
 - a. D's usual abode
 - b. Serve someone of suitable age and discretion who relides there

iii. Service on D's agent

1. Process can be delivered to D's agent. WK if receiving service is within scope of agency, e.g., agent appointer by K or by law or corps registered agent, managing agent or officer.

iv. State law

1. In addition, in federal ct we can use memods of survice permitted by state law of the state where me fed robet sits or where service is effected.

v. Waiver by mail

 Process is caned to D by first classinally postage prepaid. OK if D returns waiver form within 30 days. By doing so, D waives only formal service of process – noting else e.g., lack obsersonal jdx. If she doe not return the waiver form, serve he wither perionally us by substituted service, and she may be required to pay the cost of successivice.

d. Geographic Litation

i. Process is delivered to D in another state.

I. CD is this is Of confyrif forum state taw allow (for example, with a long-arm statute).

Immunity from cryice

D is served for a loderal civic case while instate to be a witness or party in another civil case. OK?

No she is improve from service.

f. <u>Subsequent documents</u>

What's above is formal service of process, by which a defending party is brought before the ct.

For subsequent papers – e.g., answer, other pleadings, motions, discovery requests and responses – verse by delivering or mailing the document to the party's attorney or pro se party. If miled, add 3 days for any required response.

g. California Service of Process

- i. Basic idea
 - 1. As in federal ct, D must be served with process, which consists of summons and a copy of the complaint.

ii. Who may serve process?

1. Any non-party who is at least 18 years old.

iii. Methods of service

- 1. Personal service
 - a. Good anywhere in the state (same in fed ct)
- 2. <u>Substituted service</u>
 - a. CA's requirement is different from federal law
 - b. Can only use substituted service to serve an incividual if personal service cannot with reasonable diligence be had
 - i. Must be made at D's usual abode or maying address
 - ii. Must be left with a competent member of the heusebeld who is at least 18
 - iii. That person must be into med of the contents and
 - iv. Process must als be maled by first-class mail, postage prepaid to
 - D.
 - 1. Such substituted service is deened effective of days after me mailing.
- 3. Corps and other bus nesses
 - a. Deliver process to agent for vervice of process or to an officer, or general manager. These people may be served personally or left with someone appreciably in charge at her office during usual office bours. Can always serve a registered agest
- 4. Waysr of service by mal
 - a Vorks as incederal stavith these distinctions:
- i. Service is deemed complete when D executes the acknowledgment form; a
 - i. Disust respond within 20 days after that.
 - 5. <u>Service expudication</u>
 - Only an affidavit from Q's attorney that D cannot be served, after decionstrating reaconable diligence to serve D in another way. This is a last resort; raree OK.
- iv. Service outside CA

mail

- Can be made out onstate in any manner allowed by CA law OR by mail, postage prepaid, return recurpt requested. If by mail, deemed complete 10th day after the
- CA has bolished this immunity.
- V. PLEADINGS
 - a. <u>Basic idea</u>
 - i. These are documents setting forth claims and defenses. In theory, federal cts use *notice pleading* you only need enough detail to allow the other side to be on notice and make a reasonable response.

b. <u>Rule 11</u>

- i. Requires attorney or party representing herself to sign all pleadings, written motions and papers (except discovery docs, which are treated by another rule).
 - 1. With signature, the person is certifying that to the best of her knowledge and belief, after reasonable inquiry:
 - a. The paper is not for an improper purpose
 - b. Legal contentions are warranted by law (or non-rivor-us argument for law change), and
 - c. That factual contentions and denials of factual contentions have evidentiary support (or are likely to after further investigation).
 - 2. This certification effective every time position is presented to the ct + continuing certification.
 - 3. Sanctions may be levied (discretionary) gainst attorney, first or party.
 - a. Rule 11 sanctions are mant to leter a repeat of bad conduct. Can be nonmonetary sanctions. Monetary sanctions are often poid to ct, not to be other party. Before hoposing sanction, ct musigive a change to be heard.
 - 4. Motion for violation of Rule 1 Ns served on other parties but is not in mediately filed with ct. Party a legedly violating Fule 11 has 21 days (of e han or) to withdraw the document or fix the problem. If sie does, no cancions. If she does not, then the notion can be filed.
 - 5. Ct can raise Rule 11 problems sua spont. There is no safe harbor here.

c. <u>Complaint</u>

- i. Principal pleading by P. Filing commences an action
 - 1. Lequirements
 - a. Statement of subject matteridx
 - b. Short and lain statement of m claim, showing entitled to relief
 - 2. In toting the claim, federal cts have used notice pleading, which means you only need nough detail to put the other side on notice. But since 2007, the S Ct said the standard is: *you must plead facts supporting a plausible claim*.
 - Spesial matter that must be pleaded with particularity or specificity:
 - a. Frat 1
 - c. Special damages

<u>Defendant's remonse</u>

- i. Rule 12 required D to respond in 1 of 2 ways:
 - 1. By notion; OR
 - 2. By answer.
 - a. Either must be within 20 days after service of process (or else risk default).

ii. Motions (Rule 12)

1. Motions are not pleadings; they are requests for a ct order.

2. Issues of form

- a. Motion for a more definite statement pleading so vague D can't frame a response (rare).
- b. Motion to strike, which is aimed at immaterial things, e.g. demand for jury when no right exists; any party can bring.

3. Rule 12(b) defenses

- a. Lack of subject matter jdx
- b. Lack of personal jdx (waivable)
- c. Improper venue (waivable)
- d. Insufficiency of process (waivable)
- e. Insufficient service of process (weivable)
- f. Failure to state a claim
- g. Failure to join indispensable par
 - i. These can be raised either by motion or answer.
 - ii. Waivable = must be put in the FIRST sulf 12 response (motion or answer) or each they're waived

iii. The answer

- 1. It is a pleading.
- 2. Timing
 - a. Serve within 10 days after service or process if D makes no motions; if D does make a Rule 12 motion and it is denied, she must serve her answer within 10 days after curules on the motion.
 - In Dynaived service, has 50 days from P smalling of waiver form in
 - which t answer (wakter of service does NO Waive personal jdx or
- 3. What D does no the and
 - a. Repond to allegations to compaint:
 - Admit

1.

Denv

- ii. State, ou lick sufficient info to admit or deny
 - This acts as a denial, but can't be used if the info is public knowledge or is in D's control.
 - Failure to deny can constitute an admission on any matter except damages.

ffirmative defenses

- These basically say that even if D did all the terrible things P says, P still cannot win.
- ii. Classic examples: SoL, SoF, res judicata, self-defense.
- iii. If you don't plead affirmative defenses, you waive them.

e. <u>Counterclaim</u>

- i. This is an offensive claim against an opposing party.
- ii. It is to be filed with D's answer.
- iii. Two types:

1. Compulsory

- a. Arises from the same T/O as P's claim. MUST BE FILED IN PENDING CASE OR IT'S WAIVED.
- b. The claim cannot be asserted in another action.

2. Permissive

- a. Does not arise from same T/O as P's claim. You MAX there with your answer in this case or can assert it in a separate case.
- iv. If a counterclaim is procedurally OK, then assess whether it is vokes iversity or FQ jdx. If so, it gets to federal ct. If not, then try supplemental jax.

f. Cross-claim

- i. This is an offensive claim against a co-party.
- ii. It MUST arise from the same T/O as the underlying action.
- iii. Need not be filed in the pending case because more is no such thing is a compulsory cross-claim.

g. <u>Amending pleadings</u>

i. Right to amend

- 1. P has a right to amen *conce* before D serves kin answer.
 - a. If P amends, D must respond within 10 days or the amount of time remaining on his 20 days, whichever is longer.
- 2. D has a right to amend *once* with a 20 days of serving his ans ver
- ii. If there is no right to mend
 - 1. Seek have of
 - 2. It will be graded if justice so requires.
 - 3. Factors. delay and rejudice
- iii. Varianc
 - 1. This is when we evidence at trial does not match what was pleaded.
 - . We want the pleakings to reflect what was tried.
 - P sues to breach of K; D ar wers. At thal, P introduces evidence that D assaulted him. D doesn't object. QK?
 - a. Evelence of zssau admitted into evidence because D didn't object.
 - At or after trians, can move to amend the complaint to conform to the
 - evidepento show the assault claim. We want the pleadings to reflect what was ried.
 - Same case, but D close object. Evidence of assault inadmissible because it is at variation with the pleadings.

Amendment after the SoL has run (relation back)

- 1. <u>To i ma new claim</u>
 - Amended pleadings relate back if they concern the same conduct, transaction or occurrence as the original pleading.
 - b. Relation back means that you treat the amended pleading as though it was filed when the original was filed, so it can avoid a SoL problem.
- 2. To change A D after the statute has run
 - a. This will relate back if:

- i. It concerns the same conduct, transaction, or occurrence as the original;
- ii. The new party knew of the action within 120 days of its filing; and
- iii. She also knew that, but for a mistake, she would have been named originally.
- b. This applies when P sued the wrong D first, but the right D knew about it.

h. California Pleadings

i. Basic idea

 As in fed ct, these documents set forth claims and defenses. But timing and terminology are different in state ct. In state practice, we have completent, answer, demurrer, various motions, and crosse completent.

ii. Fact pleading

1. State cts require more detail in meadings than federal c

iii. Frivolous litigation

- 1. There are 2 general statutes in state practice:
 - a. CA has a statute that maxors Rule 11. Toworks just like it with a exception: the 21-day safe harbor applies not only increation, brought by a party, but also show the ct russes me issue on its own.
 - b. Another CA statute allows the ct to order a party or histattorney or both to ray express and attorneys fees incurred by another varty because of bad-faith or frivolcas actics in litigation. Frivolous means completely without merit or for the sole purpose of histassing an opposing party:

 The bad behavior must be in litigation.
 - ii. There is NO safe harbor here.

c. There has be anotion by party or the ct and opportunity to be heard.

v. Complaint

Pleading by A As in fed ctuelling complences the action.

ed.

- as Subment of facts constituting the cause of action, stated in ordinary and concise language \rightarrow ultimate facts.
 - Demand for judgment for the relief to which the pleader claims to be

Percember the complaint in a limited civil case must state it is limited.

If P seeks damages, she generally must state the amount.

- 1. Exceptions
 - a. Personal injury and wrongful death cases
 - b. Whenever P claims punitive damages, she CANNOT state the amount.
- 2. So in a personal injury or wrongful death case, how can D find out about actual or punitive damages?
 - a. D requests the P's statement of damages (SOD)

- b. P must provide the SOD within 15 days
- c. P must serve the SOD on the D before taking the D's default.
- 3. Same steps for punitive damages
- 3. <u>Remember the requirement of fact pleading</u>
 - a. P must allege the ultimate facts on each element of each every of action.
- 4. <u>Heightened pleading requirements</u>
 - a. These things must be pleaded with particularity: circulastances constituting fraud, civil conspiracy, tortious breach of K, unfair business practices, and product liability claims among multiple Ds resulting from exposure to toxins.
- 5. Verified pleadings
 - a. These are signed under penalty or perjury by the pert. They are rare, but are required, for example, in shareholder derivative sum and for suits against government untites. Remember, verified prodings can be reated as affidavits.
- 6. <u>Fictitious Ds</u>
 - a. If P is genuinely uniware of the identity of a D, she way name the D as a Doe D commut size allege that she I maware of the D's true identity and must state the cause of action against the Doe D (that she charging

b. P is huby a car driven by D-1, who was rear-ended by a car driven by D-2, who fled the scene and had been driving a storen car. P sues D-1 by time and sizes D-2 st a Doe D. If she makes a charging allegation against the fictitious P and files the case before the SoL runs, she may be able to amena the complaint to name D-2 when she discovers his identity, even after the SoL runs. She might go relation back.

1. Deputs respond in an appropriate way within 30 days (20 in fed ct) after service of processes deemed complete.

2. Gen var demurer

This carebe used to assert 2 defenses.

The post important one: the P failed to state facts sufficient to optitute a cause of action.

- 1. LOOK OUT FOR CROSS-OVER; this is a great way to test the elements of any claim.
- This is like FRCP 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss. So the ct takes all allegations as true and limits its assessment to the complaint and matters of which it takes judicial notice. If sustained, usually the ct will let P try to allege again.

ii. Lack of SMJ (extremely rare)

b. These defenses can be raised in the answer instead or they could be asserted in a motion for judgment on the pleadings (general demurrer).

17 **Bar Exam Doctor**

- 3. Special demurrer
 - a. This can be used to assert a great many defenses.
 - i. The complaint is uncertain, ambiguous or unintelligible.
 - 1. This is like the federal motion for more definite statement.
 - ii. The complaint is unclear about which theories of the bility are asserted against each of the Ds.
 - iii. Lack of legal capacity
 - *iv.* Existence of another case between the same parties on the same cause of action
 - v. Defect or misjoinder of parties
 - vi. Failure to plead whether a K is ora. or written
 - vii. Failure to file a certificate required to sue for professional negligence).
 - b. These can be raised in the answer instead because they are affirmative defenses.
 - c. If not raised by demotrer or answer, they are generally considered waited.
 - d. As with the general denserrer, the ct these all gations as true and lights assessment to what is in the complaint and matters of indicial active.
 - e. Special act un reare NOT available in limited civil
- 4. Motion to quash service of sum cons
 - i. Lack of personal idx
 - ii Improper proces
 - iii. Improper service of proces
 - b. This is a special appearance.
 - c. This antion more be made DEFORE or WITH the filing of a demurrer or a notion testrike or else D startes these defenses.
 - d. Typo. P sues D. D files an answer in which he asserts the affirmative defense of lack of personal jdx. D has waived the defense of lack of personal idx.
 - i. An answer is a general appearance and the defense is waived. If the emergence the motion to quash, the moving party can ONLY seek
 - appelate review by writ of mandate from the Ct of Appeal within 10 days of vervice of the written notice of entry of the order denying her motion.
 - the timing is the same as the motion to quash service of summons.
 - D can file this to strike all or part of a complaint. The ct may strike *irrelevant, false or improper matter*.
 - b. Anti-SLAPP motion to strike

6.

i. The legislature has been concerned about strategic lawsuits against public participation (SLAPP), which are suits brought to chill the valid exercise of free speech and petition. When P sues D for an

act D took in furtherance of her free speech right or right to petition the government on a public issue, D can make an anti-SLAPP motion to strike.

- ii. D must make a showing that P's c/a arises from protected activity. If D makes that showing, the burden shifts to P to they a probability of winning on the merits.
- iii. A D who wins an anti-SLAPP Motion can such person who sued her for malicious prosecution.
- iv. The anti-SLAPP motion is not available if F is case is truly in the public interest or on behalf of the general public.

7. Answer

- a. This is like the answer in fed e., in which D respond to allegations of the complaint and raises affirmative refenses. Same as federal about responding by admitting denying, or stating that lack information to admit or deny. Same as federal about admission of allegations not decied.
- b. General denial
 - i. This is a short document, in which D limply denies each and every allegation of P's complaint. This to permitted if D can do so consistent with rules about trive ous litigation.
- c. In stating affirmative defenses be careful about stating ultimate facts satisfiest to constitute an anymative defense.
 - If D's inswer is in an sient, P can demur to the answer. In P field a verified complaint, D must file a verified answer
- a. No later that 30 days after service of process is deemed complete, D must file accesser codemurrer cone of the motions noted in order to avoid
- b. The denurrer or motion is denied, D must answer within 10 days after the ruling. But a motion to trike does not extend the time in which to answer or emur.
 - vi. Claims by D
 - As in fed ct, Doon assert a claim against the P, against a co-D, against an unpleaded wird party D. In CA state cts, all 3 of these claims have the same name: cross-complaints

Cross composint against P

- the answer.
 - i. It is against an opposing party
 - ii. It is compulsory if it arises from the same transaction or occurrence as P's claim against D
- 3. Cross-complaint against co-party
 - a. Same as federal cross-claim. May be filed anytime before the ct has set a trial date.

- i. It is a claim against a co-party.
- ii. It MUST arise from the same T/O as underlying dispute
- iii. It is NEVER compulsory. The party may assert it here as a crosscomplaint or may sue in a separate case.

4. Cross-complaint against third-party defendant

- a. Same as federal impleader, third-party complaint. May be thed anytime before the ct has set a trial date.
 - i. A defending party, third-party P, may juin a non-party (TPD) to the pending case.
 - ii. It is NEVER compulsory. The party may assert it here as a crosscomplaint or may sue in a separate case.
 - iii. The TPD in an impleader cross-complaint may raise decenses that the defending party council ave raised against the P.
 - iv. Difference from ederal \rightarrow the right to join the PD is broader in state ct. It works not just for indemnit tribution but 0 r anv claim that the TPD is liable on the underlying case. it a ses T/O as he claim agas the lefending p the sa in ersy which f the erest in the control is the bas underlying an in
- b. The person against whom any cross-complaint is asserted must respond rugin .0 drys.
 - . If the cross-complaint is asserted against one who was not yet appeared in the case, it must be served with summons (same as impleader).

vii. Ameriling Meadings

- 1. Thas a right to emba before D files an asswer or demurrer. After demurrer but letter trial on the issue aised by lenurrer, a party may also amend once as a matter of course.
 - 2. Any particulate leave trainend and me. It will be granted unless there is delay or prejudice.
 - 3. A meadment to conform to the evidence is available.
 - After sustaining a denearer or granting a motion to strike, the ct will usually do so with leave to control. This allows P to try again. If the ct sustains a demurrer or scants a motion to strike without leave to amend, P cannot try again.
 - Relation back a available to add new claims after the SoL has run, but only if the new tasks respect to the same general facts as originally alleged.
 - Belation back to change a D after the SoL has run is OK If there was a misnomer
 P section wrong D but the right D knew about it.
 - 7. Relation back and fictitious Ds OK if:
 - a. Original complaint was filed before the SoL ran and contained charging allegations against the fictitious Ds
 - b. P was genuinely ignorant of the identity of the Doe Ds; AND
 - c. P pleaded that ignorance in the original complaint.
 - i. If P substitutes true D within 3 years of filing, it relates back.

VI. JOINDER OF PARTIES

a. <u>Proper Ds and Ps. These are folks who may be joined.</u>

- i. Curly, Moe and Larry are injured when the taxi in which they are riding trashes. May they sue together as co-Ps? Yes, because their claims:
 - 1. Arise from same T/O; and
 - 2. Raise at least 1 common question.
- ii. Then assess subject matter jdx.

b. <u>Necessary and indispensable parties</u>

- i. Some absentees (non-parties) must be forced to join the case b cause they're necessary or required.
- ii. Who's necessary?
 - 1. An absentee (A) who meets ANY of the e tests:
 - a. Without A, the ct cannol accord complete relief (worrind about multiple suits);
 - b. A's interest may be narmed if he isn' joined (practical haven); r
 - c. A claims an juterest which subjects a party (usually D) is multiple obligations.
 - 2. Joint tortfeasors and
- iii. After determining that A'snecessary, see if joinuer is feasible
 - 1. It is fearion in
 - There is personal itax over him, and

ecessary

- A Joining him will not make it impossible to maintain diversity.
- 2. If joindex is feasible, the absence is brought into the case, and the ct decides when or he's brought in as a P or as a D.

3. If joinder is not feasible, the ct must either proceed without the absentee or dismiss the whole case. It look at more factors:

- a. Athen an alternative forum available? (watch for state ct)
 - what is the actual like shood of prejudice?
- c. Cas the ct shape relief to avoid that prejudice?
- 4. If cadecides to dismiss, we call that absentee party *indispensable*.
- c. Impleader
 - A defending party cants to bring in someone new (third party defendant, TPD) for one reason: the TPD have we indemnity or contribution to the defending party on the
 - underlying contin
 - ii. Right to impleat within 10 days after serving answer; after that, need ct permission.
 - iii. Steps for Ippending the TPD in the pending case:
 - 1. File third-party complaint naming that party as TPD; and
 - 2. Serve process on the TPD (so must have personal jdx over TPD).
 - iv. After TPD is joined, P can assert a claim against TPD if the claim arises from the same T/O as the underlying case.
 - v. After TPD is joined, TPD can assert a claim against P if the claim arises from the same T/O as the underlying case.

vi. Subject matter jdx

- 1. Assume there is no FQ and all claims exceed 75k. P is a citizen of CA. D is a citizen of NV. TPD is a citizen of CA.
 - a. Is there SMJ over D's claim against TPD?
 - i. Yes, it meets diversity and more than 75k.
 - b. Is there SMJ over TPD's claim against P?
 - i. No diversity and no FQ. But supplemental job is QK because the claim meets the T/O test and the special limitation in diversity cases does not apply to claims by non-Ps.
 - c. Is there SMJ over P's claim against TPL
 - i. No diversity and no FQ. No supplemental! Even though it meets the T/O test, P cannot use supplemental to avoid lack or diversity in a diversity case.

d. Intervention

- i. Absentee wants to join a pending suct. She chooses to come in either as P c as z D. The ct may re-align her if it thinks she came in on the wrong side. Application to otervene must be timely.
 - Intervention of ngbt

 A's interest may be harmed if one is not joined and her interest is not
 - Permissive in ervention
 - A A's claim or defense and the pending cas, have at least one common
 - question. Discretioners with ct; CK unless delay or prejudice.
 - Suppose we have a diversity of citizenship case and that the P intervenor is not
 - liverse from an D (or D-intervence is not diverse from the P). Is there
 - supplemental jdx over a claim by or against an intervenor? The cts generally say

Intern eader

- One holding groperty forces all p-tential claimants into a single lawsuit to avoid multiple litigation and inconsistency.
 - Person with preperty is called the stakeholder.
 - Jolks who cant it are called the claimants.
- Two types of interpleader in federal ct:
 - 1. Rule PCP 22
- 2. Statutor
- 11. In each, the cascholder is not sure who really owns the property and wants to avoid multiple liability or suits. The types have different standards for diversity of citizenship, amount in controversy, venue, and service of process. In each, the ct can enjoin claimants from suing elsewhere. Remember: rule interpleader is a regular diversity case.
 - 1. To determine diversity of citizenship:
 - a. Under rule interpleader: stakeholder must be diverse from every claimant.

- b. Under statutory interpleader: one claimant must be diverse from one other claimant (don't care about stakeholder's citizenship).
- 2. Amount in controversy
 - a. Under the Rule, must exceed 75k. Under the statute, \$50 per more.
- 3. Service of process
 - a. Under the rule, treated as a regular lawsuit. Under the value, nationwide service (so no personal jdx problems over claimants in US).
- 4. Venue
 - a. Rule, like a regular case. Statute, any dirarict where any claimant resides.

f. The class action

- i. Initial requirements. Must demonstrate ALL of these:
 - 1. Numerosity
 - a. Too many class members for practicable joinder
 - 2. <u>Commonality</u>
 - a. There are some questions of law or fact in community class
 - 3. Typicality
 - a. Representative sclaims lefenses are typical of those of the ches
 - 4. <u>Representative is adequate</u>
 - a. The class port entative will fairly and idequately represent class.
- ii. Next step: must fir case wi hin 1 of 3 topes
 - 1. Prejudic.
 - a. Class reatment necessary to avoid harm either to class members or to party opposing class. An example is many claimants to a fund. Individual suits might replete the cand, leaving some without remedy.
 - 2. <u>Injunction or deplacetory judgment (not demaged sought because class was</u> reated alike by other very
 - East and the second sec
 - a. Example: employment d scrimmation
 - Common questions predominate over individual questions; and
 - Cruss action is the superior method to handle the dispute
 - i. Example mass tort
- ii. The et must determinent an early practicable time whether to certify the case to proceed as a class action.
 - 1. If the ct certifies the class, it must define the class and the class claims, issues, or defended enough oppoint class counsel.
 - 2. Class counsel must fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class.
- 1v. Does the strongy the class of pendency of the class action?
 - 1. In the Type 3 class, the ct MUST notify class members, including individual notice (usually by mail) to all reasonably identifiable members.
 - 2. The notice tells them various things, including that they can opt out, they'll be bound if they don't, and they can enter a separate appearance through counsel.
 - 3. The representative pays to give this notice.
 - 4. Notice is not required in Type 1 or Type 2 classes.

- v. Who is bound by the judgment?
 - 1. All class members except those who opt out of a Type 2 class. There is no right to opt out of a Type 1 or Type 2 class action.
- vi. For all 3 types of class action, settlement or dismissal of class claims in accrtified class requires ct approval. Also, in all 3 types, the ct gives notice to class memory to get their feedback on whether the case should be settled or dismissed. If it's atype, class, the ct must give members a second chance to opt out.
- vii. SMJ
 - 1. The class could invoke FQ jdx by asserting a claim arising under federal law.
 - For a class action brought under diversity, to determine the class' citizenship and amount in controversy, *look <u>only</u> to the representative(s) and not the other class members.* As long as the rep is diverse front all Ds, and as long as repls claim exceeds 75k, it's OK.
- viii. Class Action Fairness Act of 2005
 - 1. This act contains a grant of 6MJ separate from regular diversity of citizens ip jdx. It allows federal cts to hear aclass action if any class member (not just the rep) is of diverse citizenship from any O and if the aggregated claims of the class exceed \$5M.

g. California Joinder of Part

- i. Proper Ps and D
 - 1. Who stAY be joined? Baraca by the same as in fed ct.
 - 2. <u>Ps</u>: may join at claims at se from same T/O and h ise at least one common sues ion (same as fideral) CR at they have a claim adverse to the D in the
 - Nopexy or control r y at issue.
- 3. De may be inned if chims againer them arise from same T/O and raise at least one common question (same as tederar) OR if a claim adverse to them is asserted in the present or controversy at issue
 - ii. Necessary and indepensable partie
 - 1. The 1055 be joined?
 - 2. Sans as in federal practice. So determine:
 - s. If absentes is necessary
 - b. If see join her.
 - If the court be joined, decide whether to proceed without her or dismiss.

Impleader

- sementer, this is a cross-complaint in state practice.
- iv. Intervenior
 - 1. Ide tical to federal practice for intervention of right.
 - 2. Similar to federal practice for permissive intervention, but statute requires the applicant have an interest in the matter in litigation, or in the success of either of the parties.

3. In permissive intervention, CA cts speak of allowing intervention if the applicant's interest is direct and immediate, as opposed to indirect and consequential, which will not support permissive intervention.

v. Interpleader

- 1. Procedurally the same as in federal practice.
- 2. In federal practice, it is clear that the person instituting interpreadermay claim that she owns the property interpleaded.
- 3. It is not clear in CA whether that is OK maybe the sukeholder can interplead only if she does not claim to own the property.
- vi. The class action the statute uses vastly different la guage than the federal rule
 - 1. Requirements
 - a. You must show:
 - i. An ascertainable g
 - ii. A well-defined community of interest
 - 1. The context will consider whether
 - a. Common questions predominate
 - b. The representation is abequatec) The class will result in substantial ben fit to the
 - 2. <u>Types of class action</u>
 - a. **Chiny** 1 to state ct.
 - 3. Individual notice NOT regards notice can be by publication.
 - A last the collecided who will bear the cost of notice.

partie

- 4. All cassemembers also do coropt out are bound by the class judgment up to the cuto allow the opt-opt.
 - 5. CA does not couire thect to appoint class counsel
- 6. Settlement or distrissal must be upproved by the ct.
 - Determining amount in concoversy
 - argregate ALL class claims.

II. DISVOVERY

- a. <u>Required a sclosures</u> must be produced even though no one asks for it
 - i Innial disclosures
 - 1. Unless ctorde or adpulation of parties differs, in most cases, within 14 days of the Kac 260 conference, must identify persons and documents likely to have discover ble info that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or differses, computation of damages and insurance of any judgment.
 - ii. Experts
 - 1. As directed by ct, must identify experts who may be used at trial and produce written report containing opinions, data used, qualifications, compensation for study, etc.
 - iii. Pretrial

1. No later than 30 days before trial, must give detailed info about trial evidence, including docs and identity of witnesses to testify live or by deposition.

b. **Discovery tools**

- i. May not be used until after Rule 26(f) conference unless ct order or stip lation allows.
 - 1. **Depositions** (questions can be oral or written)
 - a. Sworn oral statements by deponent responding to questions by counsel (or pro se parties), recorded by sound or video sound or stenographically. Transcript can then be made.
 - b. Can depose parties and nonparties. Nonrarty should be subpoenaed, however, or she is not compelled to attend.
 - c. Party need not be subpoenaed; notice of the deposition properly served, is sufficient to compel attendance.
 - d. Cannot take more than 10 denositions or depose the sume person twice without ct approval or stipulation. Deposition cannot exceed one day of seven hours unless coorder or parties stipulation.
 - e. Use at trial (all subject to rules of evidence)
 - i. Impean the dependent
 - ii. Any purpose if deponent is adverse party
 - iii. Any purpose if depotent is unavailable for bial, unless that absence was proceed by the party seeking to heroduce the widonce
 - 2. Interlogator

2. Questions proposited a writing to another party, to be answered in writing under oath.

Must respond with enswers or objections within 30 days. Can say you don't is ow the enswer, but only after reasonable investigation; if the answer could be found it but ness records and it would be burdensome to had h, can allow probounder access to those records.

- A thel, cannot use you own answers; others may be used per regular rules of evidence.
- cannot serve here than 25 (including subparts) without ct order or

stipulation

3. Requests o produce

a the quests to another party (or to nonparty if accompanied by subpoena)
b questing that she make available for review and copying various
c cuments (includes electronically stored info) or things, or permit entry upon designated property for inspection, measuring, etc.

- b. Must respond within 30 days of service, stating that the material will be produced or stating objection.
- 4. Physical or mental examination
 - a. Only available through ct order on showing that party's health is in actual controversy and good cause.

- b. Person examined may obtain copy of report without making this showing, but by doing so waives the doctor-patient privilege re reports by his doctors re that condition.
- 5. Requests for admission
 - a. A request by one party to another party to admit the truth of any discoverable matters.
 - b. Often used to authenticate documents; the prorounding party will send copies of the docs to be authenticated with the request
 - c. Must respond within 30 days of service. The response is to admit or deny; can indicate lack of info only if indicate you've made a reasonable inquiry. Failure to deny tantamount to admission; can anend if failure not in bad faith.

6. Parties sign substantive answers to discovery under each

- a. Every discovery request and response is signed by councel certifying
 - i. It is warranted
 - ii. Not interposed for improper purpose
 - iii. Not waary burdensome

7. Duty to supplement

a. If a party norm, that its response to required disclosure, interrogatory, reque t for production or equest for admission is incomplete or incorrect, must supplement the response.

c. Scope of discovery while info can we get through discovery.

- i. Standard
 - 1. Can ascover anything relevant to a claim or defense: relevant to something in the pleading.

For good cause, carean order discovery relevant to the subject matter of the case.

- a. Relevant means reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
- admissible evidence.
- if Privileg d matter is not discoverable.
 - 1. Watch for cross-over with evidence here!
- iii. Work product
 - Material propared in anticipation of litigation.
 - 2. Generally protected from discovery.
 - 3. A station of litigation is discover ble if party shows:

g Substantial need

- Not otherwise available
- 4. Mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, and legal theories are absolutely protected.
- 5. The work need not be generated by a lawyer; it can be by a party or any representative of a party.
- iv. Experts

- 1. Parties are required to produce info about experts who may be used at trial without request from party.
- 2. In addition, party may take deposition of any expert whose opinions may be presented at trial.

d. Enforcement of discovery rules

i. There are 3 main discovery prbs presented to ct:

1. Protective order

- a. Receiving party seeks protective order
 - i. E.g., request is overburdensome, or involves trade secrets; or ESI is not reasonably accessible

2. Partial violation

- a. Receiving party answers some and objects to others
- b. If the objections are not apheld; this is a partial violatio, so we expect a light sanction.

3. Total violation

- a. Receiving party fails completely to attend deposition, respond o interrogatories or to respond to requests for production.
- b. This is a used violation, so we expect a neavy sanction.
- ii. Sanctions against a party
 - 1. The party speking sunctions must certify to the ct that she tried in good faith to get the into without ct involvement.
 - 2. Particulation \rightarrow 2 steps of senctions
 - a Can get an order composing the party to answer the unanswered
 - question, pur cost (including atorney's fees) of bringing motion.
 - b. If the perty violates the order compelling him to answer, RAMBO sanctions plus costs (and attenney's fees remotion) and could be held in contempt for violating a ct order (except no contempt for refusal to submit to medical exam).
 - 3. Solution \rightarrow one step. RAMBO plus costs (and attorney's fees remotion). No need to get an order compelling answers. Go directly to RAMBO.
 - False denial of request to admit: recover only costs and attorney's costs of having a prove the issue.
 - Failure to pak are dired disclosure: other side can treat as partial or total violation. Paky failing to make disclosure cannot use the info at trial unless failure was justified or harmless.
 - 6. RUND sanctions (choices available to judge)
 - a. Establishment order (establishes facts as true)
 - b. Strike pleadings of the disobedient party (as to issues re the discovery)
 - c. Disallow evidence from the disobedient party (as to issues re the discovery)
 - d. Dismiss P's case (if bad faith shown)
 - e. Enter default judgment against D (if bad faith shown)

28 **Bar Exam Doctor**

7. No sanction if ESI lost in the good faith operation of an information system.

e. <u>CA discovery</u>

- i. No required disclosures in CA.
- ii. Discovery tools
 - 1. Depositions
 - a. Oral and written questions.
 - b. Same as in fed ct as to the basics, so can depose a party or a non-party. But you should subpoen a non-party to ensure attendence. Can only depose a natural person once unless ct orders otherwise.
 - c. *Different from federal*: no presumptive time limit on deposition unless ct orders; no presumptive limit on the number of depositions to be taken in the case.
 - 2. Interrogatories
 - a. Same as in fed ct as to the basic, so can be sent only to parties.
 - b. There are form interrogatories approved by the Diascial Council. There is no limit on the number of form interrogatories that can be surved on other parties.
 - c. If a party withes to traft specific interrog mories to some on a tother party, the interrogatories may not contain sub-arts.
 - d. The naximum number of drafted interrogatories allowed in an unlimited civil case is 5.
 - i. You can serve more with a declaration supporting the need for more.
 - ii. Responding any then can seek a protective order.
 - e. P must obtain a ct order on showing of g od cause to propound
 - interregatories D within 19 days after D was served with process.
 - . <u>Requests to produce (inspection dependent</u>
 - a. Reserve the same of requests to produce in federal ct.
 - There is no statutory limit on how many of these can be served without ct permission in an ullimited civil case.
 - r must obtain that order on showing of good cause to propound an
 - inspective demand on D within 10 days after D was served with process.
 - The CA statute does not expressly permit a party to use these to get info
 - a on party. But it is possible to get discovery of things from a non-
 - You take the non-party's deposition and serve him with a subpoena duces tecum
 - 4. <u>Medical examination</u>
 - a. Same as in fed practice.
 - b. In CA, if it is a physical exam, the lawyer for that person has the right to attend the examination. If it is a mental exam, the lawyer can attend only if there is a ct order allowing it.
 - 5. <u>Requests for admission</u>

- a. Same as in fed practice.
- b. 35 is the maximum number of requests that can be served on a party in an unlimited civil case.
- c. But there is NO LIMIT on the number of requests to admit the genuineness of documents.
- d. P must obtain a ct order on showing of good cause to yto, cand a request for admission on D within 10 days after D was served with process.
- 6. Discovery in limited civil cases
 - a. Only 1 deposition can be taken.
 - b. Only a combined total of 35 interrogatories, inspection demands, and requests for admission is each party allowed to propound to another party.
 - c. Parties can get additional discovery only with a ct or er.
- 7. <u>Supplemental discovery in UNI IMI Not cases only</u>
 - a. Unlike in fed ct, in CA ds there is no standing duty to supplement discovery responses as long as me info given way accurate and complete when given.
 - b. Instead, the requesting party can proposed supplemental interlogations which elicit later-actuired info learing occanswers provides smade.
 - c. Also, she can promound supremental demands for aspection, which demand inspection of later-acquired or later-discovered does or things.
 d. A party sampropound supplemental interrogatories or sequests for
 - production twice barrow a trial date is set and once after that.
- iii. Scope of depoy
 - a. Can disponse anything relevant to the subject matter involved in the pendits action
 - . Relevant is cludes material that is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admission evidence.
 - 3. Asia fedurated, privileged matter is not discoverable. When a discovery request would inte de on privileged matter, the responding party must object with partsularity.
 - In CA, that means she must identify the document for which privilege is claimed, is author, the date of preparation, all recipients, and the specific privilege claimed. This accord is sometimes called a *privileged log*.
 - a. the CA Constitution recognizes a right of privacy, which can be claimed
 b. limit discovery. Not absolute: ct balances need for discovery against the need for privacy.
 - 6. Work product
 - a. Here, it must be generated by an attorney or her agent.
 - b. A writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal research is NEVER discoverable.

- c. Other work product of an attorney is discoverable only if the ct determines that denial of discovery will unfairly prejudice the party seeking discovery or will result in injustice.
- 7. Experts
 - a. Any party may request the simultaneous exchange of experimentary info.
 - b. This requires each party to exchange a list of experts the expects to offer at trial, a declaration of the nature and substance of ustimony, and the expert's qualifications. The demand can include a request for reports by the expert. A party may then take a deposition of the expert.
 - i. If a party does not exchange this info, the ct may exclude its expert from testifying.
 - ii. There is no discovery *ca consulting* that will not testify at trial.

iv. Enforcement of discovery rules

- 1. Parties generally must meet and confere work out problems before seeking ct orders. A party failing to do so hosubject to monetary satisfies of expenses, including attorney's fees meyred by the other party at a result of the failure to meet and confer.
- 2. The only time you con't have to meet and confer and therefore seek, another right away is value, there is a total follower to respond.
- 3. CA law prohibits minuse of discovery such as not playing by the rules, making unjustified objections, abusive motions, failing to context refutal to respond, etc. By statute, a comparison and sanction may person including particle and attorneys guilty of hersustig the discovery process.
 - The person to be selectioned wast be given notice and a chance to be heard.
 - unctions include:
 - a. Monta y sance n
 - b. Establishment order
 - A fusil to allow party to support its position with evidence at trial Striking pleadings
 - Enering default julgment
 - Dismissing class
 - A party may such a protective order to protect against unwarranted annoyance,
 - ibarrassment, oppression, burden or expense. Balance need of discovery against

VIII. PRITRIAL ADJUDICATION

a. Voluntary dismisser

- i. May be allowed on ct order (and P may have to pay D's costs). But sometimes P has a right to do so simply by filing a written notice of dismissal.
- ii. You only get 1 free voluntary dismissal. After that, it is dismissal with prejudice.
- b. <u>Default and default judgment</u>

party's in

- i. Default is a notation by the ct clerk on the docket sheet of the case. A claimant gets a default by showing the clerk that D has failed to respond within 20 days after being served with process. D can respond anytime before the default is entered.
- ii. Getting the default does not entitle the claimant to recover. She needs a addgment to enforce and recover money or other remedies. The clerk of ct can enter jurgment if:
 - 1. D made no response at all
 - 2. The claim itself if for a sum certain in money
 - 3. Claimant gives an affidavit of the sum owed; AND
 - 4. D is not a minor or incompetent.
- iii. But if any of those 4 is not true, the claimant must go to the ct tself (judge) for the judgment. The judge will hold a hearing and has discretion to enter judgment. D gets notice of that hearing only if she made some coper ance in the case
- iv. Default judgment cannot exceed what the claiment demanded is herecomplaint (or be a different kind of relief).
- v. D may try to set aside a default by glowing gold cause and a vision defense. Good cause usually means excusable neglect. D may try to set aside a default judgment on the same basic showing.

c. Failure to state a claim

- i. Under 12(b)(6), D more set clamits for failure to state a claim. It ests only the sufficiency of P's all egation.
- ii. **Standard**: the concerns on allegations an struct and asks this. if P proved all she has alleged, would she win a judgment?
- iii. This tests to see whether the face alleged state a claim test the raw would recognize.
- iv. In ruling on this motion, the ct only looks at the face of the complaint.
- v. The same motion, if made for D has answered, is called motion for judgment on the plaques

. <u>Sunn judgment</u>

- i. Moving party must how
 - 1. There is no genuine dispite as to material issue of fact and
 - 2. That she is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.
 - The notion can be for pertial summary judgment, e.g., as to one of several claims.
 - Ct con and usually loes look at evidence.
 - It generally views the vidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party.
 - 1. Note an index is are sworn statements, so they can be evidence. Pleadings are not evidence unless they are verified pleadings.
- v. You never weigh credibility on summary judgment.

e. <u>Pretrial adjudication in CA</u>

i. Voluntary dismissal

1. P can move to dismiss anytime before trial actually commences. The decision is for the ct to make and it is also up to the ct to decide whether such a dismissal is without prejudice.

2. If P moves for voluntary dismissal after trial starts, it may be granted only with prejudice, unless the parties stipulate otherwise or the ct finds good cause to dismiss without prejudice.

ii. Involuntary dismissal

- 1. All cts (federal and state) have authority to dismiss for failure to projecute, failure to abide by ct orders or rules and, of course, for the various has easily that can be raised by demurrer, motions to quash or dismiss, etc.
- 2. The ct has discretion to dismiss if the case has not been brought to trial within 2 years after filing.
- 3. Mandatory dismissal
 - a. The case must be dismissed if:
 - i. Not brought to trial within 5 years of filing
 - ii. Process is not served with n 3 years after ^{cilin}

iii. Default and default judgment

- 1. D fails to respond to the complant within 30 days on the effective date of service of process on her. The procedure is very similar to that in fed cat, with these ♦ differences:
 - a. D must be given notice of the application for entry of default.
 - b. Default judgment can be entired by the clerk without a judge' involvement of:
 - Dimide no response at all
 - ii. The claim is on a K or judgment
 - iii The claim is for a sum certain in a oney
 - iv. D was not served by publication LND
 - v. Dpr vides an affidavit stating relevant facts
 - 2. But if any those is not true the claimant must go to the ct itself for the judgment. The judge will hole ahearing and has discretion to enter
 - Duta It judgment cannot exceed what the claimant demanded in her complaint or be a different kind of relief.
 - D may move to set aside default or default judgment and for leave to
 - defend the action of service of process did not result in actual notice of the suite o D within the time to respond. Notice of motion must be
 - ompanied by an affidavit attesting the lack of notice was not the result are g to avoid notice or of inexcusable neglect.
 - Notion must be filed within reasonable time, not to exceed the earlier of tese: 6 months after service of written notice of default or default judgment or 2 years after entry of default judgment.

iv. Failure to plead facts constituting a cause of action

1. Raised in state ct by general demurrer.

v. Motion for summary judgment

- 1. Standard is the same as in fed ct.
 - a. Burden-shifting

- i. If D moves for SJ and shows P's cause of action lacks merit, or if P moves for SJ by showing there is no defense to the cause of action, the burden shifts to the opposing party to demonstrate that a triable issue of fact exists. She must produce evidence.
- b. Moving party must file and serve a separate statement of material facts she claims to be undisputed, with supporting evidence for each fact. If she does not, the motion can be denied.
- c. If the moving party files and serves such a statement and evidence, the opposing party must respond by indicating the facts she believes to be in dispute and supporting evidence for each fact. It she does not, the ct may grant SJ.
- d. Moving party must serve all process at least 75 days before the learning on the motion. Opposition papers must be filed at least 11 days before the hearing. Reply papers by the moving party must be filed no more than 5 days before the hearing.

IX. CONFERENCES AND MEETINGS

a. Rules 26(f) conference

i. Unless ct order says other vise, etaeast 21 days before scheduling converence (or scheduling order is due), paries discusse daines, derenses and settlement. Must form discovery plan address enter to the ct in writing within 14 days.

b. Scheduling order

i. Unless locur uleor at order says otherwise, the ct enters an order scheduling cut-offs for joinder, amendment, motions, etc.

c. Pretrial conferences

i. The encay hold presed conferences as preded to expedite the case and foster settlement.
Find pretrial conferences *letermines the is an to be tried and evidence to be proffered*.
Preorded in provial conference order that basically supersedes the pleadings; may be amended *to prev on manifest injustice* (a tough standard).

TREAL, JUDGMENT, AND POST-TREAL MOTIONS

a. <u>Jury trial</u>

i Right to jury trial in federal ct

- 1. 7th Am, which opples ONLY in fed ct, preserves the right to jury in civil actions at law but main suits at equity.
- 2. If a case involves both law and equity, the jury decides the facts underlying the law case, but not the equity claim.
- 3. Jun issues are tried FIRST.

ii. Requirement of demand

- 1. Must demand in writing no later than 10 days after service of the last pleading raising jury triable issue.
- During voir dire, each side has unlimited strikes for cause. Each side also gets 3 peremptory strikes.

- 1. Peremptory strikes must be used in a race and gender neutral way.
- 2. No fewer than 6 jurors and no more than 12. There are no alternate jurors all participate unless excused for good cause.

iv. Motion for judgment as a matter of law (JMOL)

- 1. Old name: directed verdict
- 2. This is an exceptional order, the effect of which is to take the case away from the jury.
- 3. It is brought after the other side has been heard at trial. So usually D can move twice: at close of P's evidence and at close of all evidence. 1: only at close of all evidence.
- 4. <u>Standard for granting motion</u>: *reasonable neople could not disagree on the result.*
- 5. And the ct generally views evidence in the light most far orable to the nonmoving party.

v. Renewed motion for judgment as a motter of law (RJMOL)

- 1. Old name: judgment not with tanding the verdect (JNC
- 2. <u>Situation</u>
 - a. Judge let the case go to the jury which returns a verdict for one party, and the ct energial operation on the basis of the verdict. Now, the losing party files a renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law which, if granted, would result in entry of a jurgment for him. More within 10 days after entry of judgment
- 3. Stanlard

a Leasonable people could not distigree on the result

4. Notice for judgment as a matter of law agan appropriate time during trial is a prerequisite in YOU NO NOT MOVE FOR JMOL AT TRIAL, YOU CANNOT BRING THE RJMOL AS A MATTER OF LAW.

Motion for a nevertial

Situation

- 1. Judgment entered, but fors at trial require a new trial.
 - Something have need that makes the judge think the parties should start over and
 - -try the case. Move within 10 days after judgment.

<u>Grounds</u>

- 1. Prejusticial (not harmless) error at trial makes judgment unfair
- 2. New evidence that could have been obtained with due diligence for the original trail
- 3. Prejudicial misconduct of party or attorney or third party or juror
- 4. Judgment is against the weight of the evidence
- 5. Excessive or inadequate damages
- iii. Compare
 - 1. Grant of new trial is less radical than grant of renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law because it means the ct will start over and decide who wins.

35 **Bar Exam Doctor**

c. Motion to set aside judgment

- i. Clerical error \rightarrow anytime
- ii. Mistake, excusable neglect \rightarrow reasonable time, never more than 1 year
- iii. New evidence that could not have been discovered with due diligence for a new trial motion → reasonable time, never more than 1 year
- iv. Judgment is void \rightarrow reasonable time (no maximum)

d. Trial, judgment, and post-trial motions in CA

- i. Recovery
 - 1. As in fed ct, the amount claimed in the complaint does not limit the amount that can be recovered nor does it limit the type of relief that can be recovered except in default cases. In default, one cannot recovered more or a different kind or relief than she sought in the complaint.
 - 2. In limited civil cases, no claimant can be cover more than 25k.

ii. Right to jury

- 1. The 7th Am does not apply histate cts. The Ca Constitution grants light to jury trial, largely along the same lawlequity split as the 7th Am.
- 2. If a case involves fasts underlying a cause of action with a recedy a law and a cause of action with a smedy at eacity, jury determines fasts a to the law issues but not equit. But, unlike fed ctenere we up equity issues FLST:
- 3. If the complete set of the set of a constant of the set of the
- a She equitable clean undoctrine → if the center of gravity of the case is equity, you don't get a jury and damages can be included in the non-jury trial.

iii Requirement of temano

1. A party coust concounce here emand for jury at the time the case is set for trial or within 5 days after notice of setting the trial. Usually, this demand is made in the use scanagement streement filed before the case management conference. Failure to make this demand constitutes waiver.

. Number of jurors

- Let state ct, there are 12 jurors in civil cases unless the parties agree in open ct to a lesser number.
- 2. If a junctic ensured for illness or other reason, an alternate juror takes her place. If there is no alternate, trial continues unless a party objects.
- v. Selection
 - 1. In the voir dire process, each party is entitled to six peremptory challenges and unlimited challenges for cause.
 - a. Peremptory challenges may not be used on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, sexual orientation, or similar grounds.
- vi. Verdict

1. In fed ct, the jury verdict must be unanimous unless the parties agree otherwise. In state ct, all that is required ³/₄ of the jurors.

vii. Motion for directed verdict

- 1. Equivalent to motion for judgment as a matter of law in fed ct.
- 2. After the other side has been heard, a party may move for directed wrdict because reasonable people could not disagree as to the result.
- 3. If D moves for this at the close of P's opening statement or a the close of P's evidence, it is often called a motion for non-suit.
- 4. Directed verdict can also be called a demurrer to the evidence
- viii. Motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict (JNOV)
 - 1. Equivalent to the renewed judgment as a matter of law in fed c.
 - 2. Jury returns a verdict and ct enters judgment on the basis of that verdict. Now the losing party makes this motion.
 - a. Standard: same as direct d verd
 - b. Timing: must file nonce of intention to move either before entry of judgment or the earlier of these:
 - i. 15 days of mailing or service constict of entry of u
 - ii. 180 cays after entry of judgment.
 - c. Difference from fraeral: party making his motion is no required to make motion for directed verdice at that.
- ix. Motion for a particular

2

- 1. Timing is same as JNO
 - Base
- i. Something convinces the a that the parties should retry the case.
 Proper any if the concludes that the error complained of has resulted in a miscarring of justice.
 - One ground has new trial is excessive of inadequate damages: damage figure must show the conscience.
 - To avoid snew trial one of might order remittitur or additur.
 - <u>Remittitur</u>
 - Fives P the choice of taking a lesser figure or else having to go through a new trial.
 - K in both state and fed ct.
 - Gives D the choice of paying greater amount or else having to go through a new trial.
 - ii. OK in state ct, but unconstitutional in fed ct.

x. Motion to set aside judgment

- 1. A party may move to set aside judgment because of mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. This might be possible in a default judgment case, where the party or lawyer simply goofed up and didn't respond.
- 2. The motion MUST include D's answer.

- 3. The motion MUST be accompanied by an affidavit of fault by the party or lawyer demonstrating the mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. If the ground is demonstrated, the ct must set aside the judgment. It may order the party/lawyer to pay reasonable expenses and attorney's fees incurred by the other side.
- 4. Timing: reasonable time, no more than 6 months after entry of redement

XI. APPEAL

a. <u>Basic idea</u>

i. In the federal system, we appeal from the federal district ct (trial ct) to the US Ct of Appeals.

b. Final judgment rule

- i. As a general rule, can appeal only from final judgments, which means on ultimate decision by the trial ct of the merits of the entire case. File notice of appeal incriate t within 30 days after entry of finer judgment.
- ii. To determine if it's a final juggment, ask: after making ans order does the true of have anything left to do on the merits of the case?
 - 1. If so, it's not finan.
- iii. Denial of motion for summary judgment \Rightarrow NOT a final judgment.
- iv. Grant of a motion for new that \rightarrow NOT a heat indement.
- v. Denial of a motion for new trial mal judgment; must apped within 30 days of that.
- vi. Grant of maximum premand to state $ct \rightarrow no$, by statute.
- vii. Grant redenial of renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law \rightarrow final judgment.

c. Interlocutory (con-cmal) review

- i. Internettory orders reviewable as of right
 - . Orders granting, modifying, refusing, etc. injunctions
 - 2. Appointing, infusing to appoint receivers
 - 3. Findings of patent infringement where only an accounting is left to be accounting by triat ct
 - 4. Orders affecting posses and of property
 - ii. Interfocutory Appeals to
 - Anlows appeal of non-final order if trial judge certifies that it involves a controlling issue of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion and the ct of appeals agrees to hear it.

iii Collaterel order exception

- 1. Appendent of the discretion to hear ruling on an issue if it
 - a. Is distinct from the merits of the case
 - b. Involves an important legal question and
 - c. Is essentially unreviewable if parties must await a final judgment.
- iv. When more than one claim is presented in a case, or when there are multiple parties, the trial ct may expressly direct entry of a final judgment as to one or more of them if it makes an express finding that there is no just reason for delay.

- v. Extraordinary writ
 - 1. Not technically an appeal, but an original proceeding in appellate ct to compel the trial judge to make or vacate a particular order.
 - 2. Not a substitute for appeal; available only to enforce a clear legal duty.

vi. Class action

- 1. Ct of appeals has discretion to review order granting or denying entification of class action.
- 2. Must seek review within 10 days of order.
- 3. Appeal does not stay proceedings at trial ct unless trial judge or ct of appeals so orders.

d. Appeal in CA

i. Basic idea

- 1. In an unlimited case, we appeal from the superior ct to the CA St of Appeal. Appeal is to the district of the Coof Appeal to which he county is assigned
- 2. Timing
 - a. Generally, the notice of oppeal must be fined in the trial cowinsen:
 - i. 60 days aftenservice of the notice of entry of endoment
 - ii. a roudays ofter entry of judgment if no notice is served.
- 3. Judgments in limited civil cases and small craims matters are capealed to the appellate division of the Superior C
- ii. Final judgment rule
 - 1. Like fed ets, CA follows this rule. S one cannot generally appeal until the merits of the efficiency resolves.
- iii. Interloc tor, review
 - 1. Sy statute, these are appealable:
 - a. Order graving a motion to cruss service of summons
 - b. Under granting a discussal or stay of a case for forum non conveniens Order granting new true
 - a. Oner denying a motion for JNOV
 - Order denying tot granting) certification of an entire class action
 - Order granting, dissolving, or refusing to grant or dissolve an injunction
 - Order directing party or attorney to pay monetary sanctions of over 5,000
 - ollateral prair rile
 - ppeal may hear appeal on
 - i. An issue collateral to the merits of the case
 - i. That the trial ct has decided finally if
 - iii. It directs payment of money or performance of an act.
 - 3. Extraordinary writ

g.

- a. If an order is not otherwise appealable, the aggrieved party may seek a writ of mandate (to compel a lower ct to do something the law requires) or prohibition.
- b. The writ may issue by any ct to an inferior ct.

c. Party seeking must demonstrate

- i. That she will suffer irreparable harm if the writ is not issued
- ii. The normal route of appeal from final judgment is inadequate
- iii. She has a beneficial interest in the outcome of the vrit proceeding.
 - 1. In practice, writ is more likely if the issue n welved is one of public interest, e.g., involving configurate control of public interpretations or a novel and in portact question.

XII. CLAIM AND ISSUE PRECLUSION

a. <u>Basic idea</u>

- i. If case 2 is in a different ct system from case 1, the law of the system that decided case 1 applies regarding claim and issue preclusion.
- ii. Suppose judgment in case 1 has been appealed, or the time for spealing has not yet expired. Is that judgment entitled to claim or is use preclusion effect?
 - 1. Federal: yes
 - 2. CA: no
- iii. Claim and issue preclusion are affirmative defenses, seed should raise them to her answer. Often on the bar this issue is presented in a motion for summery incoment.

b. <u>Claim preclusion (res judicata)</u>

- i. Stands for the proposition that you only set to sue on a cause of action or claim once.
- ii. Requirements
 - Case 2 and case 2 were brought by the same claimant against the same D. Not just comparises, but also in the same configuration.
 Case 1 unded in a callid final indement on the inervis.
 - a. Unless the chard otherwise when it entered judgment, it's on the merits UNLLSS it was based on its, venue, SoL, indispensable parties.
 - b. A default j dgment IS of the merits.
 - c. adjust the based on ascovery obuse:
 - Federal: on the perits
 - . CA: ca the merits
 - 3. Case 1 and case 2 assigned the same cause of action or claim
 - . Federal Jaim means T/O
 - CA: you get one cause of action for each right invaded. So if a single actident caused both personal injuries and property damage, there would
 - two causes of action one for the body and one for the property. This eory is called *primary rights*.
- ni. Terminology
 - 1. If the claimant won case 1, res judicata is called merger.
 - 2. If the claimant lost case 1, res judicata is called bar.

c. <u>Issue preclusion (collateral estoppel)</u>

- i. It precludes relitigation of a particular issue litigated and determined before.
- ii. Requirements

- 1. Case 1 ended in a valid, final judgment on the merits.
- 2. The same issue was actually litigated and determined in case 1.
- 3. The issue was essential to the judgment in case 1. Without the issue, the judgment in case 1 would have been different.
- 4. Against whom can issue preclusion be asserted? Only against one who was a party to case 1 or who was represented by a party. This is required by due process.
- 5. By whom can issue preclusion be asserted?
 - a. Traditional view (mutuality): only by one who was a party to case 1. This is not required by due process and some ets have rejected it to allow nonmutual assertion of issue preclusion.
 - b. Nonmutual defensive issue produsi an
 - i. Barney, driving aunt Beele car, is involved in a collision with Andy. Assume a int Beelis Vicariously liable for Barney's acts.
 - ii. Case 1: And such Barry, Barney why, barney on a finding that Andy was negligent. The ct enters final judgment for Barrey. ◆
 - iii. Case 2. Andy subs aunt Bee. Contaun Bee assert asuc preclasion as to he finding that Anny was negligent?
 - 1 All requirements met. With respect to the by whom requirement, it is asserted by a party who was not a party to case 1. Undermutuality rule could not be done. Under federation and CA law, OK if Andy had a full chance to largete in case 1.
 - Nonmutual offensive issue preclusion
 - Case 2 is brought by Aunt Bee as a inst Andy to recover damages to ber car. Yount Bee years to assert collateral estoppel as to the finding in case 1 that and y was negligent.
 - Most ct world probably not allow this today. But federal and CA law will allow hit is not UNFAIR. Factors (just throw them in):
 - Any had a full and fair opportunity to litigate in case 1 and y could foresee multiple suits
 - 3. About been could not have joined easily in case 1 There are no inconsistent judgments on the record. If there had been multiple litigation, and sometimes Andy was found negligent and sometimes not, it would be unfair to let aunt Bee to get issue preclusion on a negligence finding.

CIVIL PROCEDURE ESSAY (FEDERAL)

2.

- I. DOES THE CT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO DECIDE THE DISPUTE?
 - a. Does the ct have authority over the parties?
 - i. Personal jdx

- 1. Traditional ways of asserting jdx
 - a. Domicile
 - b. Presence in state when served
 - c. Consent
 - i. Appearing in the action
 - ii. By contract
 - iii. Appointment of agent for service
 - iv. Implied consent, e.g., non-resident motorist statutes
- 2. Assertion of jdx over non-residents
 - a. State long-arm statute, and
 - b. Minimum contacts
 - i. Purposeful availment
 - ii. Foreseeability
 - c. Traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice
 - i. Relatedness between clarm and contact (base important of contact is great)
 - ii. Convenience
 - iii. State s interest
- ii. In rem jdx
- iii. Quasi in rem jdx
- iv. Notice service
- b. Does the ct have authority over the subject matter?
 - i. Subject many je
 - 1. State cts are generally cts or unmitted jd. The only limits are statutory.
 - rederal cts only have gdx over 2 types of claims:
 - a. Federal question
 - b. Diversity actions
 - i. Complete diversity
 - Good faith allegation over 75k
 - 4. Sup lemental jdx
 - Is the cathe proper place to reache the aspute?
 - Versue refed cts
 - 1. District where any O resides, if all Ds in same state
 - 2. When the unital part of the claim arose, or
 - 3. If no discrict meets 1 or 2
 - a diversity cases, district where any D is subject to personal jdx or
 - b. In other cases, where any D may be found
 - 4. Improper or inappropriate venue
 - a. Transfer
 - b. Forum non conveniens
- II. WHAT LAW GOVERNS THE DISPUTE?
 - a. Erie doctrine

- i. Federal cts are required to apply state substantive law to nonfederal causes of action
- ii. The necessary and proper clause allows federal cts to apply federal procedural rules. In addition, federal cts will apply some state procedural rules when those rules have no bearing on the mechanics of the fed ct system

III. ARE THE PLEADINGS PROPER?

- a. Federal cts use notice pleading the pleading must put the opposing party or notice of the claim. By contrast, some states use code pleading
- b. Complaint
 - i. Statement of SMJ
 - ii. Statement of the claim
 - iii. Demand for relief
- c. D's response
 - i. Answer
 - ii. Rule 12 motion (watch waivable defen
- d. Counterclaim
 - i. Compulsory
 - ii. Permissive
 - iii. Supplemental jdx (if needed) for compulsory
- e. Cross-claims supplemental jax is no
- f. Amendments and supplemental pleadings
- g. Rule 11
 - i. Certification
 - ii. Sanctions
- IV. ARE THE PROPER PARTIES AND CLAIMS PEFORE THE CT
 - a. Joinder of partic
 - i. Completery joinder ecessary parties should be joined if possible
 - ii Pervissive joinder if joinder of necestary party not feasible (e.g., would destroy
 - directively) of muscleiner proceed without absence or dismiss the case. If dismiss, call absence indispensable.
 - inter of claims
 - i. Class actions
 - Initial requirer
 - a. Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable
 - Questions of law or fact common to the class
 - wims of the representative parties are typical of the class
 - the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the class
 - 2. Types
 - a. Prejudice
 - b. Injunction/declaratory judgment
 - c. Common question predominate
 - ii. Intervention
 - 1. Intervention as of right

- 2. Permissive intervention
- 3. Supplemental jdx if needed for intervention of right or D
- iii. Impleader
 - 1. Indemnity or contribution
 - 2. Other claims: TPD v. P and P v. TPD
 - 3. Supplemental jdx if needed for impleader and TPD v. P
- iv. Interpleader
 - 1. Rule 22 interpleader
 - 2. Statutory interpleader
- V. HAVE THE PARTIES PROPERLY PROPOUNDED AND REPLIED TO DISCOVERY?
 - a. Types of discovery
 - i. Depositions
 - ii. Interrogatories
 - iii. Requests to produce
 - iv. Physical or mental examinations
 - v. Requests for admission
 - vi. Required disclosures
 - b. Scope of discovery
 - i. Anything reasonably care land to lead to a missible evidence
 - ii. Privileged matter no discoverable
 - iii. Work product
 - c. Enforcement of discovery rules (sanction)
 - i. Total or pastial triluce to provide discovery: motion to compel plus costs and certify good faith exemption btain discovery.
 - ii. Sanction include
 - 1. Treat matters a admit
 - . Disallow evidence on an issue
 - 3. Establish the issue adverse is the violeting party
 - 4. Strike the pleadings
 - 5. hismiss the cause of action or the entire action (bad faith)
 - Enter a default dgment (bad faith)
 - Hold in contempt, except for refusal to submit to physical or mental exam
 - imediate r automatic sanction
 - CANTH: DISPUTE BE RECORVED WITHOUT A TRIAL?
 - 12(b, 6) failure to that a daim
 - Dismissal
 - i. Voluntar
 - ii. Involuntar
 - c. Summary judgment
 - i. The moving party must show that there is no triable issue of fact and entitled to judgment as matter of law
 - ii. Partial summary judgment can be granted
- VII. IF THERE IS A TRIAL, WHO WILL DECIDE THE MATTER?

Bar Exam Doctor

- a. 7th Am guarantees a right to jury trial for actions at common law, but not for equitable actions. State constitutional provisions and statutes also guarantee jury trials.
- b. Written demand
- c. When an action contains legal and equitable claims, legal claim tried first to jur
- d. The verdict can be a general verdict, a special verdict or a general verdict with interrogatories
- e. If there is a jury, can the jury be disregarded?
 - i. Nonsuit
 - ii. Judgment as a matter of law
 - iii. Renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law
 - iv. Motion for a new trial
- VIII. CAN THE DECISION BE APPEALED?
 - a. The final judgment rule requires a final judgment of the entire case before an appeal may be taken
 - b. Exception to the final judgment rule
 - i. Pretrial orders involving temporary rem dies
 - ii. Final judgment on collateral matter
 - iii. Interlocutory orders of great importance that may be therm hative of the ultrate decision

SE

- IX. IS THE DECISION BINDING DATA TOP
 - a. Res judicata
 - i. When there is a final judgment on the meries, recjudicate prevents recessertion of the claimant's cause of action
 - ii. On the metric is envyudgment except one based on idx, renue, or indispensable parties or if first et said it was not onenerits
 - b. Collateral estop, el
 - i. Is use a fact actually itigated and essential to a judgment in a first action are conclusive in a subsequent, achough different, action between the P and D or their priviesh. Default and consent judgments do not involventigation of the merits and therefore do not
 - give rise to sollateral estoppel
 - nc is bound by the judgment?
 - i. Parties
 - ii. Brives to parties are also bound including those who control the litigation and will be affected by the outcome
 - Strangers are not yound, but may take advantage of collateral estoppel if jdx rejects
 - matuality doction Other jdx
 - 1. The constitution requires full faith and credit be given to public acts, records, and judicial proceedings of sister states. Federal statutes compel recognition of federal ct judgments.
 - 2. Full faith and credit is only required when the ct had personal jdx over the parties and the ct issued a final judgment on the merits.
 - 3. Full faith and credit is not required for foreign country judgments.